Getting more than a GLIMPSE - Outflows from massive YSOs B. Stecklum, A. Caratti o Garatti (TLS), Ch. Davis (JACH), H. Linz (MPIA), Th. Stanke (ESO), H.Zinnecker (AIP)



Introduction: There is observational support that stellar growth via accretion can produce final stellar masses of up to 8. . .10M fact that no evidence for disks and jets was gained for higher stellar masses yet and no undisputed signs for the build-up of massive stars by coalescence were found so far [2] represents a serious challenge which has to be tackled. The Spitzers an excellent starting point to detect extremely young massive stars because of its unprecedented sensitivity, wavelength coverage, and spatial resolution [3]. The IRAC ands cover a multitude of lines, in particular from H, which are excited in shocks caused by jets and bipolar outflows [4]. The H2(0-0) S(8) to S(11) lines around 4.5µm can be rather bright at intermediate excitation temperatures as well as the [Fell] 4.89µm line at higher temperatures. This allows us to search for candidate outflows from massive YSOs in the GLIMPSE images. This poster summarizes the current status of this project.

Results: Plots of all but one sources are displayed on this poster (FOV 1.3'x1.3'). The contour lines delineate the MIPS 24µm emission for those objects with MIPSGAL coverage. While the major fraction of the sample comprises known massive starforming regions, a substantial part represents hitherto unstudied sources. 32 objects do not have an IRAS point source within 1'. For cation with an all of the same there is no second all and with an all Solar source (within 15"). Using the same radius for searching SIMBAD entries resulted in 34 non-identifications. The available MIPSGAL data shows that most of the sources have strong 24µm counterparts, indicative of minous, deeply embedded objects.



















$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 $\Delta 1 ["]$ CENTRE: 1=331.62251° b= 0.52750°			ed count terres in the second sec	$\frac{2}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{30} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{0} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{-10} \sum_{j=1}^{-20} \sum_{i=1}^{-30} \sum_{j=1}^{-30} \sum_{i=1}^{-30} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{i=1}^{10} \sum_{j=1}^{10} \sum_{1$
	30	"Fig.2). The di	fferrent appearance	ce of the 4.5µm	and 2.16µm
	20	emission points	to extinction as v	well as excitation	variations. The
		_ "bulk of the obje	cts is associated	with masers, in pa	rticular class II
		anethanol mas	ers, which are	signposts of ex	remely young
[1] H. G. Arce et al., in: Protostars and Planets V, 245 (2	2007)		s [9]. This early te	mporal coinciden	e might not be
[2] H. Zinnecker & H. W. Yorke, ARA&A, in press	-30	by chance. The	e reduced optical	depth in the cavi	ties caused by
${}^{30}\left[3^{\circ}_{\text{CENTRE: 1=332.94179^{\circ}_{b=-0.68639^{\circ}}} \text{Churchwell et}^{30} = 0.16450^{\circ}_{\text{CENTRE: 1=333.46566^{\circ}_{b=-0.16450^{\circ}}} \text{COSPAR}^{\circ} S_{\text{CENTRE: 1=335.58509^{\circ}_{b=0.28943^{\circ}}} Contracting the second sec$	mbly ² , $1^{0}_{\text{CENTRE: 1=338.2511°}} (12006)^{-30}$	$D^{30} W^{20}_{\text{CENTRE: 1=338.39195}} \stackrel{-10}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{-20}{\longrightarrow} tflov$	VS $a^{3} ll Q^{0} W^{0} S^{\Delta_{1}} p^{0} b^{20} t Q^{30} t S^{\Delta_{1}} r^{0} b^{10} s^{20} t Q^{30} t S^{\Delta_{1}} r^{30} s^{20} t S^{\Delta_{1}} r^{30} s^{2$	to $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{30}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{30}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{-10}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{10}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{10}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{10}$ $e_{\text{ENTRE: 1=}}^{10}$	
[4] A. Noriega Crespo et al., ApJS 154, 352 (2004)	30	dust causes gra	ain, heating. This in	n turn leads to the	evaporation of
20 [5] R. Indepetouw et al., ApJ 619, 931 (2005)	20	grain mantles, t	ransferring CH ₃ OF	I into the gas pha	se, and intense
[0] J. M. De Duizer, MNRAS 341, 2170 (2003)[7] C. J. Davis et al. MNRAS 374 293 (2007)		- "IR radiation du	e to thermal emis	sion. These are p	rereguisites for
$[7] 0.0. David \beta_{1}^{2} and \beta_{2}^{2} and \beta_{3}^{2} and \beta_{4}^{2} a$		pumping class	I methanol masers	5 1 0 1	Jq -10
[9] V. Minier et al., IAU Symposium, 221, 148P (2003)	-20	-20	-20	-20	-20
³⁰ [10] A. M. Sobolev et al., A&A 324, 21 ^o 1 (1997)					-30
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 $\Delta 1["]$ CENTRE: 1=345.71741° b= 0.81664°	30 20 10 0 -10 -20 -30 $\Delta 1["]$ CENTRE: 1=348.55032° b= -0.97858°	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$