	Phase transition of interstellar CO ice
2	JIAO HE, ¹ FRANCIS E. TORIELLO, ² SHAHNEWAZ M. EMTIAZ, ² THOMAS HENNING, ¹ AND GIANFRANCO VIDALI ²
3	¹ Max Planck Institute for Astronomy, Königstuhl 17, D-69117 Heidelberg, Germany
4	$^{2}Physics$ Department, Syracuse University, Syracuse, NY 13244, USA
5	Submitted to ApJL
6	ABSTRACT
7	Among the over 200 molecular species identified in interstellar clouds, many are organic molecules.
8	It has been proposed that some of these molecules survive the star and planet formation process and
9	are eventually delivered to Earth where they can form the molecular basis of the origin of life. It is now
10	well-established that one of the most important factories of these molecules are ice mantles that cover
11	the dust grains in star-forming molecular clouds. Simple atoms and molecules such as H, O, N, and
12	CO condense from the gas phase onto the grain surface and then react with each other in the ice to
13	form increasingly complex molecules. At the extremely low temperature (10-15 K) in these clouds, the
14	widely accepted mechanism to bring reactive species together — diffusion— is severely impeded in the
15	ice, raising the question of the mechanism of their formation. In laboratory experiments we find that
16	the top layers of the ice mantle, which are made primarily of CO, transforms from a disordered phase
17	to a polycrystalline phase at such a low temperature. During the phase transition, reactive species
18	buried inside may migrate and react without the need to overcome activation energy for diffusion. By
19	quantifying the kinetics of crystallization, we predict that CO ice in interstellar clouds is mostly in the
20	polycrystalline form. The reorganization of CO ice, which occurs below 10 K, may promote mobility
21	of reactive species, and therefore can be a driving force of molecular complexity in molecular clouds.

1. INTRODUCTION

Dust grains in star-forming regions, the so-called 23 ²⁴ dense molecular clouds, are covered by an ice mantle ²⁵ with an onion-like layered structure. The inner layer 26 is mostly made of amorphous water ice and other mi-27 nor components such as CO₂, NH₃, and CH₄, while ²⁸ the outer layer is dominated by CO (Boogert et al. ²⁹ 2015; Pontoppidan et al. 2008). A survey of dozens of 30 young low-mass stellar objects found a CO ice abun- $_{31}$ dance only second to water and CO_2 . A comparison of ³² the observed CO stretching infrared band in most lines ³³ of sight in Young Stellar Objects (YSOs) with labora- $_{34}$ tory measurements show that 60-90% of the solid CO ³⁵ is in a nearly pure form rather than in a water-rich ³⁶ environment (Pontoppidan et al. 2003; Boogert et al. ³⁷ 2015). This is likely the case in other dense cloud en-³⁸ vironments as well. Laboratory experiments and as-³⁹ trochemical models all suggest that many complex or-

22

40 ganic molecules (COMs, generally defined as organic ⁴¹ molecules with 6 or more atoms in the astronomical com-⁴² munity) are formed in the CO-rich layer (Chuang et al. 43 2017; Simons et al. 2020). H atom addition reactions in ⁴⁴ the CO-rich ice lead to the formation of simple molecules ⁴⁵ and radicals such as HCO, H₂CO, CH₂OH, CH₃O, and ⁴⁶ CH₃OH. The recombination reactions between HCO, ⁴⁷ CH₂OH, and CH₃O radicals further form methyl for-⁴⁸ mate (CH₃OCHO), glycolaldehyde (HOCH₂CHO), and ⁴⁹ ethylene glycol ((CH_2OH)₂), all of which have been de-⁵⁰ tected in the interstellar space (McGuire 2018; Chuang ⁵¹ et al. 2016). In addition to H and CO, other reactive ⁵² species such as O and OH, may also participate in the ⁵³ chemistry. It is the reactions between reactive species, ⁵⁴ especially radicals, that build up the molecular complex-55 ity in star-formation regions.

Key to the formation of COMs in interstellar ices
is the process of diffusion of reactants, which is generally assumed to be the main driving force to bring
reactive species together to react. However, the activation energy of diffusion of molecules and radicals in
ices is poorly known. Astrochemical models that sim-

62 ulate the chemical evolution of interstellar matter as-⁶³ sume that the activation energy of diffusion is a frac-⁶⁴ tion of the binding energy, although the value of this ⁶⁵ fraction is poorly constrained, with estimates ranging ⁶⁶ from 0.3 to 0.8 of the binding energy(Garrod & Herbst 67 2006; Ruaud et al. 2016; He et al. 2018b). At 10-15 68 K, which is the typical temperature in dense molecu-69 lar clouds, the probability of overcoming a typical ac-⁷⁰ tivation energy barrier is exceedingly small(Garrod & ⁷¹ Herbst 2006), with the exception of hydrogen atoms and 72 molecules. However, observations point to the forma-73 tion of COMs in star-forming regions before the cloud is ⁷⁴ warmed by the nascent star(Cernicharo et al. 2012; Bac-⁷⁵ mann et al. 2012). The feasibility of COMs formation 76 at this low temperature and without the aid of energetic 77 particles/radiation has been demonstrated in the identi-78 fication of two-carbon COMs in a laboratory experiment ⁷⁹ of H atom addition to CO ice at 10 K(Chuang et al. ⁸⁰ 2016). How atoms and radicals in the ice are brought ⁸¹ together to react is an unsolved fundamental problem in 82 astrochemistry.

It has been known for almost two decades that CO 83 ⁸⁴ ice in young stellar objects is mostly in the pure ⁸⁵ form(Pontoppidan et al. 2003). However, the morphol-⁸⁶ ogy (amorphous versus crystalline) of it has not been ⁸⁷ the focus of any previous study. As a comparison, the ⁸⁸ morphology of water ice, which is the other component ⁸⁹ of the ice mantle, has been studied extensively both ex-⁹⁰ perimentally and theoretically(Essmann & Geiger 1995; ⁹¹ Jenniskens & Blake 1996; May et al. 2012). As is known ⁹² from the prior studies, the phase transition of water ice ⁹³ is typically accompanied by the segregation and pos-⁹⁴ sible desorption of the impurities. Trapped gases in ⁹⁵ amorphous water ice are released through cracks that ⁹⁶ develop in the crystallization process. The eruption of ⁹⁷ volatiles during the crystallization is called "molecular ⁹⁸ volcano" (Smith et al. 1997). Molecules more volatile ⁹⁹ than water ice desorb during the molecular volcano, ¹⁰⁰ but less volatile molecules remain in a segregated form ¹⁰¹ and desorb at higher temperatures. We decided to see whether a similar transition happens in CO ice, what is 102 ¹⁰³ the temperature of the transition, and whether impuri-¹⁰⁴ ties segregate into clusters during the transition. If the ¹⁰⁵ impurities happen to be reactive, chemical reactions be-¹⁰⁶ tween them could form COMs. To verify it, laboratory 107 experiments were performed under dense cloud relevant ¹⁰⁸ conditions to study the crystallization of CO ice, with ¹⁰⁹ and without impurities, on an amorphous solid water ice ¹¹⁰ film that emulates the inner layers of the ice mantle.

Experiments were performed in an ultra-high vacuum 112 113 (UHV) chamber with a base pressure 4×10^{-10} torr, lo-114 cated in the Laboratory of Astrophysics and Surface ¹¹⁵ Science at Syracuse University. More detailed descrip-¹¹⁶ tion of the apparatus and experimental protocols can ¹¹⁷ be found in prior works (He et al. 2018a,b; He & Vi-¹¹⁸ dali 2018). Here only the main features that are closely ¹¹⁹ relevant to this study are summarized. Located at 120 the center of the chamber is the substrate, which is a ¹²¹ gold-coated copper disk attached to the cold finger of ¹²² a closed-cycle helium cryostat (ARS DE-204 4K). The ¹²³ sample temperature is measured by a calibrated silicon ¹²⁴ diode sensor (Lakeshore DT-670) placed behind the sub-¹²⁵ strate. A cartridge heater in the sample holder is used ¹²⁶ to heat the sample. A Lakeshore 336 temperature con-¹²⁷ troller reads and controls the temperature between 5 128 to 300 K with an accuracy better than 50 mK. Ice on ¹²⁹ the gold surface is monitored by a Nicolet 6700 Fourier ¹³⁰ Transfer Infrared Spectrometer (FTIR) in the Reflection ¹³¹ Absorption InfraRed Spectroscopy (RAIRS) configura-¹³² tion. The spectrometer scans between 650 and 4000 $_{133}$ cm⁻¹ at a spectral resolution of 1 cm⁻¹. A total of ¹³⁴ 16 scans are averaged every 20 seconds to increase the

CO/CO₂ and water vapor are introduced into the chamber through two variable leak valves. Each leak valve is controlled by a stepper motor linked to a Lab-VIEW program. The deposition rate and ice thickness are calculated based on the impingement rate, which is the number of molecules colliding with the cold surface in unit time and on unit surface area (He et al. 2018a). The relative accuracy of the deposition rate is estimated to be better than 0.1% and 1% for CO and water, respectively.

135 signal to noise ratio.

In all experiments, 30 monolayer of water ice was 146 ¹⁴⁷ grown on the gold surface when it was at 10 K. After-¹⁴⁸ ward, the water ice was annealed at 130 K for at least 149 one hour to make it compact and then cooled down to ¹⁵⁰ 6 K for further experiments. In experiments where a ¹⁵¹ CO:CO₂=9:1 mixture was used, CO and CO₂ gases were ¹⁵² pre-mixed in a separate 0.5 liter canister and then sent to ¹⁵³ the chamber through a leak valve. Due to the difference ¹⁵⁴ in pumping speed for CO and CO₂, the ice composition ¹⁵⁵ on the substrate may differ slightly from the gas compo-¹⁵⁶ sition in the canister. We ignore this small difference in ¹⁵⁷ the analysis and assume that the CO:CO₂ mixing ratio ¹⁵⁸ in the ice is 9:1. For each deposition of CO or CO:CO₂ ¹⁵⁹ mixture, the deposition duration was 2 minutes, regard-160 less of the thickness. This relatively short deposition ¹⁶¹ time ensures that negligible water vapor from the cham-¹⁶² ber background is condensed together with the CO or 163 CO2.

111

3. RESULTS AND ANALYSIS

In this study, CO ice was grown by background gas 165 166 deposition on top of non-porous amorphous solid wa-¹⁶⁷ ter (np-ASW) film at 6 K. After deposition, the ice 168 was warmed up from 6 to 20 K at a ramp rate of ¹⁶⁹ 0.2 K/minute. Infrared spectra in the Reflection Ab-¹⁷⁰ sorption InfraRed Spectroscopy (RAIRS) configuration were measured during warm-up. Experiments were per-171 ¹⁷² formed with different CO ice thickness, and the spectra ¹⁷³ are shown in Fig.1. In the RAIRS configuration, the ¹⁷⁴ longitudinal optical (LO) mode at $\sim 2143 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ is much ¹⁷⁵ more pronounced than the transverse optical (TO) mode $_{176}$ at ~ 2138 cm⁻¹, and therefore our analysis is only based 177 on the LO mode. Changes in both band shape and posi-¹⁷⁸ tion of the LO mode are clearly seen for all thicknesses, ¹⁷⁹ indicating a structural change in the ice. As the thick-¹⁸⁰ ness increases, the temperature of the structural change ¹⁸¹ decreases. We attribute the structural change to a phase 182 transition from an amorphous or orientationally disor-¹⁸³ dered phase to a polycrystalline phase (Mizuno et al. ¹⁸⁴ 2016). Because the thickness of the CO ice investigated ¹⁸⁵ in this study as well as that on interstellar dust grains 186 is small, the formation of an extended crystalline phase ¹⁸⁷ is likely to be hampered by the confined geometry, and 188 the formation of polycrystals is more probable.

Under a realistic dense cloud condition, the CO-rich 189 ¹⁹⁰ layer on dust grains likely contains impurities such as ¹⁹¹ H, O, HCO, CO₂, etc. To investigate whether the crys-¹⁹² tallization of CO ice is accompanied by the segregation ¹⁹³ of impurities, we study the crystallization and segrega-¹⁹⁴ tion of a mixture of CO and CO_2 in the ratio 9:1. The ¹⁹⁵ prepared mixture was deposited on an np-ASW surface ¹⁹⁶ at 6 K, yielding a 10 monolayer (ML, defined as 10^{15} ¹⁹⁷ molecule per cm^2) ice. The ice was then warmed up at ¹⁹⁸ a ramp rate of 0.2 K/minute. Fig. 2 shows the RAIRS ¹⁹⁹ spectra measured during the warm-up. Between 8 and 200 9 K, the CO absorption profile sharpens and the peak ²⁰¹ intensity increases, similarly to Fig. 1. In the same tem-²⁰² perature range, the asymmetric stretching (ν_3) peak of $_{203}$ CO₂ blue shifts from 2344.9 to 2346.7 cm⁻¹. The former ²⁰⁴ is representative of isolated CO₂ molecules while the latter is due to clusters of CO_2 molecules(He et al. 2017). 205 ²⁰⁶ Here we used CO₂ as a proxy of impurities present in the CO-rich layer of the ice mantle since CO_2 is also present 207 ²⁰⁸ in the ice mantle on dust grains(Gerakines et al. 1999; ²⁰⁹ Pontoppidan et al. 2008). Other impurities should also ²¹⁰ segregate similarly. The crystallization of the CO:CO₂ ²¹¹ ice mixture occurs at a similar temperature as the pure ²¹² CO ice of the same thickness (see Fig. 1), suggesting ²¹³ that a small fraction of impurities does not affect the ²¹⁴ crystallization kinetics significantly and that the result

²¹⁵ on pure CO ice should apply to dense clouds conditions ²¹⁶ where impurities are present.

The experimental condition differs from dense clouds in the warm-up time scale. In the laboratory it is from minutes to hours, while in interstellar clouds it can be can be as long as thousands of years or even longer. Simple calculation are done to extrapolate the experimental results to the astronomical time scale. Following the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami-Kolmogorov theory(Avrami 1941), we performed an analysis of the experimental results (see Appendix), and found that the crystallization of CO ice can be described by the following empirical formulae:

230 231

240

$$Y = 1 - \exp(-k(T)t^n) \tag{1}$$
$$k(T) = \mu \exp(-E/T) \tag{2}$$

$$k(T) = \nu \exp(-E/T) \tag{2}$$

$$E = 443 \exp(-0.154 \ d) + 200 \tag{3}$$

²³² where Y (0 < Y < 1) is the degree of crystallinity, t²³³ is the time, n = 0.8 is the Avrami exponent, k(T) is ²³⁴ the crystallization rate constant, $\nu = 5.3 \times 10^9$ is the ²³⁵ pre-exponential factor, E is the activation energy for ²³⁶ crystallization, d is the thickness in ML, T is the tem-²³⁷ perature. Here we followed the convention in astronomy ²³⁸ to use Kelvin (K) as the energy unit. It can be converted ²³⁹ to j/mol by multiplying the Boltzmann constant.

4. ASTROPHYSICAL APPLICATIONS

We apply the kinetics of CO phase transition to dense cloud conditions. Based on equations (1)-(3), we calculate the crystallization time $t_{\rm crys}$, which we define as the time it takes for the degree of crystallinity Y to reach half of its maximum value. The $t_{\rm crys}$ as a function of both ice thickness and temperature is shown in Fig. 73. It is clear that except for the thinnest and coldest even that core lifetime 10^5 years. Therefore, the mago jority of the CO ice in the top layers of the ice mantle is likely to be in the polycrystalline phase, and chemical reactions among reactive impurities should take place to form COMs during the crystallization instead of through diffusion at a later warm-up stage.

The above discussion assumed the simplest scenario, ²⁵⁵ i.e., constant temperature and thickness, and that the ²⁵⁷ phase transition of the CO ice is irreversible. However, ²⁵⁸ the condition in dense clouds is more complicated and ²⁵⁹ the above assumptions may not be true. The bom-²⁶⁰ bardment of cosmic rays causes temperature fluctua-²⁶¹ tions, with smaller grains experiencing a larger temper-²⁶² ature variation(Purcell 1976). The thickness of CO ice ²⁶³ changes as well due to the continuous condensation of ²⁶⁴ CO gas along with impurities, and perhaps also due to

²⁶⁵ desorption of CO when temperature spikes. The mor-²⁶⁶ phology may change from polycrystalline to amorphous ²⁶⁷ when ionizing irradiation breaks up molecules and cre-²⁶⁸ ates new impurities. Subsequent crystallization once ²⁶⁹ again drives the segregation of impurities and promotes 270 the chemical reactions between them. Therefore, a dy-271 namic process of ice growth and desorption, crystalliza-272 tion and amorphization, is likely to occur in the ice man-²⁷³ tle. Chemical bonds are repeatedly broken and formed, 274 and molecular complexity is increased along the way. ²⁷⁵ This process is likely to be responsible for the formation 276 of many COMs in the ice mantle.

In numerous laboratory experiments that simulate the 277 278 energetic processing of the ice mantle, COMs are rou-²⁷⁹ tinely identified, even at below 10 K (e.g., Abplanalp et al. 2015; Abplanalp & Kaiser 2019; Sandford et al. 280 ²⁸¹ 2020). Because of the inefficiency of diffusion of radi-282 cals at low temperature, the formation of COMs is usu-²⁸³ ally explained by the recombination of radicals that are ²⁸⁴ nearby each other. Based on this study, we propose an ²⁸⁵ alternative explanation that the COMs may have been ²⁸⁶ formed by the segregation of radicals during the phase ²⁸⁷ transition of the ice, considering that at the typical experimental temperature in those studies, the phase tran-²⁸⁹ sition of a thick CO ice already proceeds rapidly. The ²⁹⁰ processes of repetitive crystallization and amorphization might facilitate the formation of COMs during the 291 ²⁹² whole irradiation stage. In this study, we investigated 293 the phase transition of CO-dominated ice. In experi-²⁹⁴ ments with ices dominated by other molecules such as ²⁹⁵ CH₄ or NH₃, it is possible that a similar mechanism ²⁹⁶ exists to explain the formation of COMs and dedicated ²⁹⁷ experiments need to be performed.

298

5. DISCUSSIONS

Structure of CO ice is important for the chemistry on 299 300 dust grains. However, it has largely been overlooked in ³⁰¹ previous astronomical/astrochemical studies, including 302 observations, computer modeling, and laboratory simulations. Future endeavors should combine efforts from ³⁰⁴ all of the three aspects. In observations, comparing ob-³⁰⁵ served spectra with the laboratory spectra of CO of 306 different morphologies would make it possible to con-307 firm the structure of CO ice on dust grains, as it was 308 done for water ice (Smith et al. 1989). In astrochem-³⁰⁹ ical models of the interstellar medium, it is crucial to 310 take into account the phase transition of CO ice as a 311 mechanism for solid-state reactions in addition to the 312 conventional mechanism of thermal diffusion. This is

³¹³ especially important for well-shielded clouds where the ³¹⁴ dust grain temperature is too low for thermal diffusion ³¹⁵ to be efficient. Computational chemistry would also lend ³¹⁶ help in systematically studying how the crystallization 317 depends on the substrate material, temperature, thick-³¹⁸ ness, and composition of the ice. In the laboratory, at ³¹⁹ least three types of experiments would be highly valu-320 able. Firstly, infrared spectra of CO ice of different mor-321 phologies, with and without segregated impurities, need ³²² to be measured. The spectra of the impurities are likely ³²³ to be affected by the morphology of CO ice and may ₃₂₄ provide a powerful tool to probe the physical environ-325 ment of the ice mantle and even provide an insight into 326 the formation mechanism of molecules. Secondly, more 327 experimental studies are needed to further constrain the 328 crystallization kinetics of pure CO ice and CO ice with ³²⁹ impurities. Infrared spectroscopy, which has been used 330 in this study, should be paired with other techniques ³³¹ such as neutron scattering, X-ray diffraction, and Reflec-³³² tion high-energy electron diffraction (RHEED), which 333 are widely used to characterize the structure of molec-³³⁴ ular solids. RHEED would be particularly helpful as 335 it has been successfully demonstrated in studies of thin 336 ice films(Yang & Zewail 2009). They would certainly ³³⁷ provide further insight into the structure of CO ice and ³³⁸ crystallization kinetics (Souda & Aizawa 2019). Thirdly, 339 laboratory simulations of the chemistry in the ice man-340 tle that take into account the thickness of the CO ice ³⁴¹ will be fruitful. In the last two decades, numerous lab-³⁴² oratory studies of the chemistry in CO-containing ices ³⁴³ have probed thermally activated and ionizing irradiation ³⁴⁴ driven reactions(Bennett et al. 2010; Kim et al. 2011; 345 Linnartz et al. 2015; Chuang et al. 2017; Eckhardt et al. ³⁴⁶ 2019; Abplanalp & Kaiser 2019) without considering the 347 role of the thickness. As discussed above, the radicals 348 that are produced as a result of either thermal reactions ³⁴⁹ or ionizing irradiations can segregate and react to form ³⁵⁰ COMs. As is shown in Fig.1, the crystallization of CO ³⁵¹ ice strongly depends on its thickness. Experiments that ³⁵² utilizes a thicker CO ice, as in most existing laboratory 353 studies, likely overestimated the yield of complex or-354 ganic molecules. Further laboratory studies will help to 355 better constrain the formation of COMs in interstellar 356 clouds.

6. DATA AVAILABILITY

The experimental data for this work is stored on 358 359 Zenodo under a Creative Commons Attribution license 360 https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4783316.

REFERENCES

357

- Abplanalp, M. J., Borsuk, A., Jones, B. M., & Kaiser, R. I.
- 363 Abplanalp, M. J., & Kaiser, R. I. 2019, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 21, 16949, doi: 10.1039/C9CP01793C 364

4

2015, ApJ, 814, 45, doi: 10.1088/0004-637X/814/1/45 362



Figure 1: 2D plot of the RAIRS spectra measured during warm-up of CO ice of different thickness. The CO ices are deposited on top of np-ASW surface at 6 K and then warmed up at 0.2 K/minute. The ice thickness is marked on top of each column. The intensity is normalized to the maximum intensity reached in the warm-up.



Figure 2: Same as Fig. 1 but for a 10 ML of a $CO:CO_2=9:1$ mixture.



Figure 3: Crystallization time (t_{crys}) as a function of CO ice thickness and temperature. The crystallization time is defined here as the time for the degree of crystallinity to reach half maximum. The time is represented in the logarithmic scale as $log_{10}(t_{crys}/\text{year})$.

- 365 Avrami, M. 1939, J. Chem. Phys., 7, 1103,
- 366 doi: 10.1063/1.1750380
- 367 —. 1940, J. Chem. Phys., 8, 212, doi: 10.1063/1.1750631
- 368 1941, J. Chem. Phys., 9, 177, doi: 10.1063/1.1750872
- 369 Bacmann, A., Taquet, V., Faure, A., Kahane, C., &
- ³⁷⁰ Ceccarelli, C. 2012, A&A, 541, L12,
- doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201219207
- 372 Bennett, C. J., Jamieson, C. S., & Kaiser, R. I. 2010, Phys.
- ³⁷³ Chem. Chem. Phys., 12, 4032, doi: 10.1039/b917162b
- 374 Boogert, A. A., Gerakines, P. A., & Whittet, D. C. 2015,
- Annu. Rev. Astron. Astrophys., 53, 541,
- 376 doi: 10.1146/annurev-astro-082214-122348
- ³⁷⁷ Cernicharo, J., Marcelino, N., Roueff, E., et al. 2012, ApJ,
 ³⁷⁸ 759, L43, doi: 10.1088/2041-8205/759/2/l43
- 379 Chuang, K.-J., Fedoseev, G., Ioppolo, S., van Dishoeck,
- E. F., & Linnartz, H. 2016, MNRAS, 455, 1702,
 doi: 10.1093/mnras/stv2288
- 382 Chuang, K.-J., Fedoseev, G., Qasim, D., et al. 2017,
- 383 MNRAS, 467, 2552, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx222
- 384 Eckhardt, A. K., Bergantini, A., Singh, S. K., Schreiner,
- P. R., & Kaiser, R. I. 2019, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 58,
 5663, doi: 10.1002/anie.201901059
- Essmann, U., & Geiger, A. 1995, J. Chem. Phys., 103,
 4678, doi: 10.1063/1.470655
- 389 Garrod, R. T., & Herbst, E. 2006, A&A, 457, 927,
- 390 doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20065560
- ³⁹¹ Gerakines, P. A., Whittet, D. C. B., Ehrenfreund, P., et al.
 ³⁹² 1999, ApJ, 522, 357, doi: 10.1086/307611
- 393 Harada, K., Sugimoto, T., Kato, F., Watanabe, K., &
- Matsumoto, Y. 2020, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 22,
 1963, doi: 10.1039/c9cp05981d
- ³⁹⁶ He, J., Emtiaz, S., Boogert, A., & Vidali, G. 2018a, ApJ,
 ³⁹⁷ 869, 41, doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aae9dc
- ³⁹⁸ He, J., Emtiaz, S., & Vidali, G. 2018b, ApJ, 863, 156,
- 399 doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aad227
- ⁴⁰⁰ He, J., Emtiaz, S. M., & Vidali, G. 2017, ApJ, 837, 65,
 ⁴⁰¹ doi: 10.3847/1538-4357/aa5f52
- 402 He, J., & Vidali, G. 2018, MNRAS, 473, 860,
- 403 doi: 10.1093/mnras/stx2412
- 404 Jenniskens, P., & Blake, D. F. 1996, ApJ, 473, 1104,
- 405 doi: 10.1086/178220
- 406 Kim, Y. S., Zhang, F., & Kaiser, R. I. 2011, Phys. Chem.
- 407 Chem. Phys., 13, 15766, doi: 10.1039/c1cp20658c
- 408 Linnartz, H., Ioppolo, S., & Fedoseev, G. 2015, Int. Rev.
- ⁴⁰⁹ Phys. Chem., 34, 205,
- 410 doi: 10.1080/0144235x.2015.1046679
- 411 Maté, B., Rodríguez-Lazcano, Y., & Herrero, V. J. 2012,
- ⁴¹² Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 14, 10595,
- 413 doi: 10.1039/c2cp41597f

- 414 May, R. A., Smith, R. S., & Kay, B. D. 2012, J. Phys.
- ⁴¹⁵ Chem. Lett., 3, 327, doi: 10.1021/jz201648g
- ⁴¹⁶ McGuire, B. A. 2018, ApJS, 239, 17,
- 417 doi: 10.3847/1538-4365/aae5d2
- 418 Mizuno, Y., Kofu, M., & Yamamuro, O. 2016, J. Phys. Soc.
- 419 Jpn., 85, 124602, doi: 10.7566/jpsj.85.124602
- ⁴²⁰ Pontoppidan, K. M., Fraser, H. J., Dartois, E., et al. 2003,
 ⁴²¹ A&A, 408, 981, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361:20031030
- ⁴²² Pontoppidan, K. M., Boogert, A. C. A., Fraser, H. J., et al.
 ⁴²³ 2008, ApJ, 678, 1005, doi: 10.1086/533431
- 424 Purcell, E. M. 1976, ApJ, 206, 685, doi: 10.1086/154428
- ⁴²⁵ Ruaud, M., Wakelam, V., & Hersant, F. 2016, MNRAS,
 ⁴²⁶ 459, 3756, doi: 10.1093/mnras/stw887
- 427 Sandford, S. A., Nuevo, M., Bera, P. P., & Lee, T. J. 2020,
- 428 Chemical Reviews, 120, 4616,
- 429 doi: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.9b00560

- $_{430}$ Simons, M. a. J., Lamberts, T., & Cuppen, H. M. 2020,
- 431 A&A, 634, A52, doi: 10.1051/0004-6361/201936522
- ⁴³² Smith, R. G., Sellgren, K., & Tokunaga, A. T. 1989, ApJ,
- 433 344, 413, doi: 10.1086/167809
- 434 Smith, R. S., Huang, C., Wong, E. K. L., & Kay, B. D.
- 435 1997, Phys. Rev. Lett., 79, 909,
- 436 doi: 10.1103/physrevlett.79.909
- 437 Souda, R., & Aizawa, T. 2019, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,
- 438 21, 1123, doi: 10.1039/c8cp06438e
- 439 Yang, D.-S., & Zewail, A. H. 2009, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.,
- 440 106, 4122, doi: 10.1073/pnas.0812409106

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

G.V., F.E.T. and S.M.E acknowledge support from NSF Astronomy and Astrophysics Research Grant No. 1615897.
J.H. and T.H. acknowledge support from the European Research Council under the Horizon 2020 Framework Program
via the ERC Advanced Grant Origins 83 24 28.

445

463

481

7. APPENDIX — KINETICS OF CRYSTALLIZATION

446 To obtain the kinetics of the crystallization and segregation, we performed a set of isotherm experiments of $CO:CO_2$ 447 mixtures in the ratio 9:1. A total of 10 ML are deposited onto an np-ASW surface at 6 K. Afterward, the ice was 448 heated up at a high ramp rate of 12 K/minute to a target temperature between 7.9 and 8.8 K, and remained at this ⁴⁴⁹ temperature for at least one hour; RAIRS spectra were measured continuously. As an illustration, Fig.4(a) shows the $CO_2 \nu_3$ band of the RAIRS spectra at a few selected times during the isotherm at 8.8 K. The CO_2 absorption 450 $_{451}$ band at the beginning of the isotherm shows a Gaussian line shape centered at 2344.9 cm⁻¹, but gradually shifts to Lorentzian line shape centered at 2346.7 $\rm cm^{-1}$. The peak at 2344.9 $\rm cm^{-1}$ is representative of low concentration or 452 a $_{453}$ isolated CO₂ molecules, while the blue-shifted peak at 2346.7 cm⁻¹ is due to segregated CO₂ in the form of clusters (He et al. 2017). We decompose the CO₂ absorption band in each spectra using one Gaussian function centered at 454 $_{455}$ 2344.9 cm⁻¹ and one Lorentzian function centered at 2346.7 cm⁻¹. The fittings of the selected spectra are shown ⁴⁵⁶ in Fig.4(a). In Fig. 4(b), the time evolution of both band areas at different isotherm temperatures is shown. At $_{457}$ all isotherm temperatures, the shift of peak position from 2344.9 cm⁻¹ to 2346.7 cm⁻¹ is observed, and the rate of 458 shifting depends on the temperature. We can also see that in all these measurements, before the annealing, the CO₂ $_{459}$ absorption is dominated by the 2344.9 cm⁻¹ band, indicating that the ice upon deposition has a very low degree of crystallinity. This suggests that the 300 K kinetic energy from gas is not affecting the initial structure of the ice. 460

⁴⁶¹ We use the Johnson-Mehl-Avrami-Kolmogorov equation, or simply the Avrami equation(Avrami 1939, 1940, 1941), ⁴⁶² to describe the kinetics of crystallization.

Y

$$= 1 - \exp(-kt^n) \tag{4}$$

⁴⁶⁴ where Y is the degree of crystallinity, n is the Avrami exponent, k is the crystallization rate constant. To obtain the ⁴⁶⁵ value of n, we plot ln(-ln(1 - Y)) versus ln(t) in Fig. 5(a). Here the value of Y is obtained from Fig. 4(b). We ⁴⁶⁶ assume that when the band area for the 2344.9 cm⁻¹ is zero, the value of Y is 1. The slope of the curves in Fig. 5(a), ⁴⁶⁷ which is the value of the Avrami exponent n, is found to be about 0.8. In later calculations, we use the value n = 0.8⁴⁶⁸ to analyze the crystallization kinetics for both pure CO ice and CO:CO2 mixtures.

In the conventional theory of crystallization, the n value for a three dimensional solid is usually between 3 and 4. However, in a geometrically confined solid, lower values are possible. The n value for ASW has been found to decrease from 4 to about 1 when the thickness of ice decreases from 18 to about 5 ML(Harada et al. 2020). Another study from 4 to about 1 when the thickness of ice decreases from 2.17 to 1.0 when the ice growth temperature drops from 473 90 K to 14 K(Maté et al. 2012). Because the CO ices in our experiments are grown at an even lower temperature 6 474 K, and the thickness is much smaller, the low value n = 0.8 is reasonable. Based on the Avrami equation, one can 475 see that compared with n = 3, the smaller value n = 0.8 would mean that it takes much longer time to reach a full 476 crystallization state. Given the very low temperature and very confined geometry at the thicknessed explored, this is 477 not surprising. Nonetheless, we believe that the n value may depend on the thickness and the composition of the ice, 478 and using a single value for all our experiments is only an estimation. Future experimental and theoretical studies of 479 the CO ice crystallization would provide further constraints to this problem.

480 We used the equation

$$Area = a \exp(-k(t - t_0)^{0.8}) + b \tag{5}$$

⁴⁸² to fit each curve in Fig. 4(b), and obtain the k values at each temperature. An offset in time t_0 is introduced to ⁴⁸³ account for the inaccuracy in determining the starting point of the isotherm experiments. The temperature dependence ⁴⁸⁴ of the crystallization rate constant k can be described by equation (2). Fig. 5(b) shows the Arrhenius-type plot of ⁴⁸⁵ the crystallization rate constant k, from which the values of ν and E were found to be 5.3×10^9 s^{-0.8} and 231 K, ⁴⁸⁶ respectively.

1

The above parameters should suffice to describe the crystallization of 9 ML of CO ice. Now we extend the analysis to other thicknesses as well. Ideally, one should carry out similar isotherm experiments for all CO thicknesses and deep derive the values of n, ν , and E for all thicknesses. Unfortunately, a detailed study is very time-consuming and out of the scope of this study. Instead, we take a simpler approach to assume that the values of n and ν are independent of

HE ET AL.

⁴⁹¹ the CO ice thickness, and only E changes with thickness. We denote it as E_d . Based on Fig. 1 and other experiments ⁴⁹² in the same series but not shown in Fig. 1, the crystallization temperature of various thicknesses of pure CO ice is ⁴⁹³ obtained, which is shown in Fig. 5(c). Also shown is the fitting using the formula of the form $T_{\rm crys} = a \exp(-b d) + c$, ⁴⁹⁴ where d is the thickness of CO ice in ML. The best-fitting is:

$$T_{\rm crys} = 16.5 \exp(-0.20 \ d) + 6.0 \tag{6}$$

⁴⁹⁶ In the slow warm-up experiments, the temperature follows a ramp of $\beta = 0.2$ K/minute.

$$T(t) = T_0 + \beta t \tag{7}$$

where $T_0 = 6$ K, and t is the time since the beginning of the warm-up. This crystallization process can be simulated by the Avrami equation, giving the values of n, ν , and various E_d values. Because Avrami equation is only applicable to the crystallization at a constant temperature, in our numerical computation, we make the temperature discrete. The ramp between 6 K and 26 K is divided into 600 constant temperature mini-steps with a temperature interval of energy values between them. Each step lasts for 10 seconds in which the Avrami equation is applicable. Different activation energy values between 200 and 550 K are plugged into Eq. (2) to calculate the k value and subsequently plugged into Eq. (1) to calculate the Y value. In this way, the degree of crystallinity Y as a function of temperature for different E_d values is calculated numerically and is shown in Fig.5(d). We take the temperature at which the Y value reaches half maximum to be the crystallization temperature T_{crys} , and in Fig. 5(e) we plotted the T_{crys} versus the activation for energy E_d , which follows a linear relation

$$T_{\rm crys} = 0.0366E_d + 0.319\tag{8}$$

⁵⁰⁹ Combining equations (6) and (8), we obtain the thickness-dependent activation energy as in equation (3).

495

497

508



Figure 4: (a) RAIRS of 10 ML of a CO:CO₂ 9:1 mixture deposited on np-ASW at 6 K and then warmed to 8.8 K for isothermal processing. Different curves show the spectra after various times of isothermal processing. The CO₂ absorption profile (blue circles) is fitted with a Gaussian function centered at 2344.9 cm⁻¹ (orange dashed line) and a Lorentzian function centered at 2346.7 cm⁻¹ (green dashed line). The total fitting is the red solid line. (b) Area of the CO₂ 2344.9 cm⁻¹ (top) and CO₂ 2346.7 cm⁻¹ (bottom) bands during isotherm experiments at the temperature indicated in the inset. The fitting using $Area = a \exp(-k(t-t_0)^{0.8}) + b$ is shown in black dashed lines.



Figure 5: (a) ln(-ln(1-Y)) versus ln(t), where Y is the degree of crystallinity. (b) Arrhenius plot of the crystallization constant k(T) calculated from the 2344.9 cm⁻¹ and 2346.7 cm⁻¹ components. The linear fitting is shown with a green line. (c) The crystallization temperature of CO ice versus the thickness (blue circles) and the fitting with an empirical function (orange line). The CO ices were grown on np-ASW at 6 K and then warmed up at a ramp rate of 0.2 K/minute. (d) Simulated degree of crystallinity versus temperature using Avrami equation and assuming different activation energies for crystallization. The heating ramp rate is 0.2 K/minute. (e) Crystallization temperature versus activation energy extracted from Fig.5(d). A linear fitting is shown with the orange line.