Combining a diffuse ISM model & radiative transfer to model star cluster formation

Matthew Bate University of Exeter

With Eric Keto (CfA)



Star Cluster Formation: Pre-EPoS and since EPoS

- 1997-2005: Mainly self-gravitating hydrodynamical calculations with simple equations of state
 - Competitive accretion can produce an IMF-like distribution of stellar masses
 - IMF depends on mean thermal Jeans mass of initial conditions
 - Bonnell et al. 1997-2006; Klessen et al. 1998-2001; Bate et al. 2002-2005; Jappsen et al. 2005
- 2006-2011: Radiative hydrodynamics (flux-limited diffusion FLD)
 - Importance of thermal heating by low-mass protostars for the IMF
 - Bate 2009; Offner et al. 2009; Krumholz et al. 2010, 2011; Myers et al. 2011
 - Maybe the key to obtaining an IMF that is insensitive to initial conditions (Bate 2009)
- 2012-present: FLD and stellar populations (>100 stars)
 - First calculations to produce realistic stellar populations (e.g. IMF and binarity)
 - Bate 2012; Krumholz et al. 2012





Outstanding problems

- What is the role of additional physics?
 - Magnetic fields: Price & Bate 2008, 2009; Myers et al. 2013, 2014; Krumholz et al. 2016
 - Outflows: Krumholz et al. 2012; Myers et al. 2014

• Does star formation depend on initial conditions and/or environment?

- Metallicity: Myers et al. 2011; Bate 2014
- Environment ?
 - Most recent calculations have begun with small, dense molecular clouds (typical of Infrared Dark Clouds, IRDCs)
 - Bertram et al. 2015: Galactic centre environment





How does the IMF depend on metallicity?

• Sub-solar metallicities

- Molecular gas generally hotter (less line-cooling and dust cooling)
- ullet Jeans mass larger ($\propto T^{3/2}$)
- Characteristic stellar mass larger?

• Sub-solar metallicities

- Reduced opacity
- Collapsing gas optically thin and able to cool quickly at higher densities
- ullet Jeans mass smaller ($\propto 1/\sqrt{
 ho}$)
- Characteristic stellar mass smaller?



Dependence of stellar properties on metallicity: Opacity

- Myers et al. (2011)
 - Calculations with opacities ranging over a factor of 20, each producing ~40 stars
 - No strong dependence of stellar mass function on opacity
- Bate (2014) repeated Bate (2012), but with opacities for 3 different `metallicities'
 - Use opacities corresponding to metallicities Z=0.01 Z $_{\odot}$, 0.1 Z $_{\odot}$, Z $_{\odot}$, and 3 Z $_{\odot}$
 - Does NOT take into account all of the effects of reduced metallicities
 - Assumes dust cooling still dominates
 - Breaks down as gas and dust temperatures decouple
 - Gas opacities from Ferguson et al. (2005)
 - Each calculation produces 170 200 stars (733 total)
 - Look for variation of mass function and multiplicity



DiRAC





Dependence of stellar properties on opacity

- No significant dependence of any stellar property
 - Despite varying opacity by a factor of 300
- IMFs consistent with Chabrier (2005)
- Multiplicity is a strong function of primary mass
 - In good agreement with observational surveys











European Research Council Established by the European Commission

Two types of thermodynamical calculation

- Calculations of star cluster formation
 - Ignore complicated physics of the diffuse ISM
 - but include the radiative effects of protostars
 - (e.g. Bate 2009-2014; Offner et al. 2009; Krumholz et al. 2010-2012; Myers et al. 2011-2014)
 - Temperature accurate near protostars
 - Large-scales isothermal (set by boundary conditions)
 - No distinction between gas and dust temperatures (only valid >10⁵ cm⁻³)

• Thermodynamical calculations of molecular clouds

- Treat low-density thermochemical evolution of molecular clouds in detail
- but exclude radiative feedback from protostars
- (e.g. Glover et al. 2010-2012; Hocuk et al. 2015; Bertram, Glover et al. 2015)





DiRAC

- Combining (Bate & Keto 2015) should allow more accurate models of starforming regions and the resulting stellar populations
 - Gould-Belt star-forming regions (lower mean densities)
 - Low metallicities (external radiation environment more important, weaker gas/dust coupling)
 - Extreme environments (e.g. galactic centres, starburst, high-z)
- Diffuse ISM model similar to Glover et al. (2007, 2010)
 - Separate gas, dust and radiation temperatures
 - Gas heating: cosmic rays, photoelectric
 - Gas cooling: recombination cooling, C+, OI atomic lines, molecular lines (Goldsmith 2001)
 - Dust heating: assume equilibrium with radiation field (combined interstellar + protostellar)
 - Gas-dust collisional thermal coupling
 - BUT simple chemistry: carbon (CO, C^0 , C^+) and hydrogen (HI and H₂)









Mass function & multiplicity

- Preliminary results: calculation only run to 1.1 t_{ff} so far
 - 104 stars and brown dwarfs
 - Bate 2012 followed to 1.2 t_{ff} (183 stars and brown dwarfs)
- Median mass in good agreement with Chabrier (2005) IMF
 - Spread not quite as broad yet
- Multiplicities of solar-type stars currently higher than field
 - Most are in high-order multiples that may be expected to evolve dynamically



Gas Temperature with Different Metallicities





Column Density with Different Metallicities



HI with Different Metallicities



C⁺ with Different Metallicities



CO with Different Metallicities





Dependence of the mass function on metallicity

• Preliminary results:

- Z=0.1 Z $_{\odot}$ 66 stars and BDs at 1.05 t_{ff}
- $Z=Z_{\odot}$ 104 stars and BDs at 1.09 t_{ff}
- Z=3 Z_{\odot} 106 stars and BDs at 1.01 t_{ff}
- Median masses within factor ~2



• Solar metallicity currently has the highest characteristic stellar mass

- Higher metallicity, greater extinction reduces gas temperatures & increases fragmentation
- Lower metallicity, low opacities allow greater cooling at high densities





DiRAC



- Varying metallicities
- Lower-density molecular clouds (e.g. Taurus, Ophiuchus)
- Different environments (e.g. stronger interstellar radiation (ISR) fields)

• Stellar properties (IMF & multiplicity) highly insensitive to dust opacities

Calculations investigating metallicity dependence underway

- Gas structure, composition, and temperatures do depend on metallicity
- So far, no strong dependence of protostellar mass function on metallicity